



RIPE NCC and LEA cooperation

Jochem de Ruig



Why?

- Why are we engaging with LEAs?
 - Public responsibility, necessity
 - Coordination role for RIPE NCC
 - To gather support for RIR system and community
 - Fortify our ability to self regulate

- First responsibility to membership and community
 - within our mandate from the RIPE Community
 - tasks explicitly given to us by our members



Myths and Misconceptions

- No we are not a domain name registry
- Myth: “Revocation of an IP address is the silver bullet”
- Myth: “LEA are not aware of any technical implications”



Main LEA interests

- Data quality
 - Due diligence on new members
 - Correct registration records of our members
 - Improved quality of data in RIPE DB
- Closure / revocation of LIRs / INRs
 - Revoke IP ranges that are used for criminal activities
 - Close criminal LIRs down
 - Analysis on criminal INRs



Achievements so far

- Build up of contact
- Brought community, industry partners and LEA together
- Better understanding of each others positions
- Improved procedures on LEA requests
- Inspire and inform public policy makers



Recent Events - LE

- CNSA / EC - Mar 2009, Brussels
- Cybercrime conference – Apr 2009, The Hague
- Technical LEA workshops - Mar 2009, Mexico City
- RIPE NCC LEA meetings – Feb & Sep 2009, Amsterdam
- LAP/CSNA – Oct 2009, Lisbon
- NZ ITF – Nov 2009, Wellington
- Dutch Bank Association - Dec 2009, Amsterdam
- European Financial Coalition - Jan 2010, Paris
- RIR/LEA meeting – March 2010, London
- Octopus conference CoE – March 2010, Strassbourg



LEA way forward

- Continue working with LEA to inspire and inform public policy makers
- Understand LEA environment to liaise between LEA and Community
- Improve internal procedure – closure/revocation

Questions?

