



IPv6 WorldWide Policy Review



IPv6 talking regions

- ARIN, APNIC, RIPE, LACNIC

ARIN

- Criteria for PI
 - have IPv4 PI or,
 - Be IPv6 multihomed or intend to be or
 - Plan of the deployment in 1, 2 5 yrs and reason for PI

- Receive upto /48 for each site
 - Site = Location, not organisation
 - What is a site really?

- More discussion to come

ARIN

- Criteria for allocations
 - Have IPv4 allocation or
 - Be IPv6 multihomed or intend to be or
 - Plan to make 50 assignments to others in 5 yrs

- “50” a good number?

- In Last Call

ARIN

- Simplified IPv6 Policy
- Different allocation/assignment sizes
 - X-Small /48, Small /40, Medium /32, Large /28
 - X-Large /24, XX-Large > /24
- “Classful” addressing
- Abandoned

LACNIC

- Assignments for Critical Infrastructure
 - Prove IXP/NAP capacity, have at least 3 members
 - Justify need
 - Min /48, max /32

- End Users with “critical” infrastructure
 - Not to be routed globally
 - Min /48, max /40

- Under discussion



LACNIC + APNIC

- Removing Routing Requirement
- LACNIC discussing
- APNIC reached consensus

Conclusion

- Operational matters coming to surface
 - “Site” definition
 - Routing practices
- Simplified criteria
 - Increased incentives for deployment

Questions?

